

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR OCTOBER MEETING OF THE
PUEBLO CONSERVANCY DISTRICT ON OCTOBER 10, 2000

DIRECTORS PRESENT: Sandstrom, Farley and Kelly.

ALSO PRESENT : Banner and Koester and guests Jeff Bailey (Abel Engineering), Steven Meier and Scott Hobson, (City Planning) and Cynthia Ramu representing Pueblo Arts Council on the Levee Painting Project.

The meeting was called to order at 7:35 a.m. on the above date, and the minutes of the September meeting were approved as prepared.

Treasurers report for September was presented and approved for filing, and the following bills for October were approved for payment:

1885	Pueblo Arts Council-Paint-out Maint	\$	400.00
1886	G. Sandstrom	Directors Fee	55.41
1887	K. Farley	Directors Fee	55.41
1888	P. Kelly	Directors Fee	55.41
1889	Banner	Legal Fee	310.00
1890	Engineer Koester	Eng/Admin Fee	480.00
		Rental Fees	100.00
		Land Survey Serv.	1530.00
1891	MBKD, pc	Bookkeeping Service	175.00
1892	Westplains Energy	Power at Runyan	90.00

The place on the agenda for Abel Engineering was then recognized and Jeff Bailey asked for permission for their Survey Crews to enter onto PCD property to do their work for the Colorado DOT, around the West 4th Street Bridge. The Board agreed to have Sandstrom sign-off on the request document after appropriate insurance documentation was present, with a copy of consent to become part of these minutes.

Meier reported for Todd Pasquin regarding the acquisition of the Progress Rail Holding by either the City of Pueblo or this District, as Todd was not present as noticed at this meeting. It was decided that the Board should meet outside the regular meeting to discuss strategies for moving Project Rail operation out of the area and the future use and ownership of the land along Thomas Phelps Creek from I-25 to Runyan. Sandstrom will arrange time and place of that meeting.

Hobson then presented an update of the 206 Program of the Arkansas River Restoration, and indicated this project carries the No. 1 Priority in the Albuquerque District, however the total Plan is not yet complete. Several Alternate plans on the scope of the work are being explored to include desires and requirements of those who have presented concerns. Hobson will keep the Board informed as the Project continues to mature.

The Board then discussed the 2001 Budget and agreed to have it advertised and approved as prepared. (copy attached)

C. Ramu then gave an update on paint-out of the confederate flag on the levee, and other improvements being funded thru the Pueblo Arts Council. The paint-out is complete and other painting should be done in a few weeks.

Banner reported that the Department of Wildlife lease that expires in 2002 requires that anyone (perhaps the Peace Garden and the Outdoor Theater) wanting to lease land near Runyan Lake for another use, must abide by the provisions of their lease as far as improvements and changes in the area.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 9:00 a.m. The regular November meeting is scheduled for Thursday November 2, 2000 at 7:30 a.m. at the District Office, 111 East 5th Street.

APPROVED:

Patricia Kelly

Kathleen M. Farley

BOARD OF DIRECTORS
P. KELLY
K. FARLEY
G. SANDSTROM

PUEBLO CONSERVANCY DISTRICT

111 EAST FIFTH STREET
544-5823

PUEBLO, COLORADO

MAILING ADDRESS:
P. O. BOX 234
PUEBLO, COLO. 81002

NOTICE OF EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING

DATE : November 3, 2000
TIME : 12:00 (noon)
PLACE : At the Pueblo Country Club (north meeting room)

AGENDA---

Lunch with PCD

Discussion regarding Progress Rail property in
Runyan Lake area.

copy list: Gus Sandstrom
Pat Kelly
Kathy Farley
Don Banner
Ray Koester
Todd Pasquin
Steven Meier



740 Thatcher Building
P. O. BOX 918
Pueblo, CO 81002-0918
Phone (719) 543-0516
Fax (719) 544-2849

ACCOUNTANTS' COMPILATION REPORT

Board of Directors
The Pueblo Conservancy District
Pueblo, Colorado

We have compiled the accompanying balance sheet -general fund only of The Pueblo Conservancy District as of October 31, 2000 and December 31, 1999, and the related comparative statement of revenue and expenditures – actual and budget – general fund for the one month and ten months ended October 31, 2000, and the twelve months ended December 31, 1999, in accordance with Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

A compilation is limited to presenting in the form of financial statements information that is the representation of management. We have not audited or reviewed the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on them.

Management has elected to omit substantially all of the disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles. If the omitted disclosures were included in the financial statements, they might influence the user's conclusions about the District's financial position and results of operations. Accordingly, these financial statements are not designed for those who are not informed about such matters.

McPherson, Breyfogle, Lichlyter & Daveline P.C.

October 31, 2000

PUEBLO CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
BALANCE SHEET - GENERAL FUND ONLY
OCTOBER 31, 2000 AND DECEMBER 31, 1999

	<u>10/31/2000</u>	<u>12/31/1999</u>
ASSETS		
MINNEQUA CHECKING	\$ 24,654	\$ 42,835
MINNEQUA MONEY MARKET	3,273	3,225
BROKERAGE MONEY MARKET ACCOUNTS	64,789	54,129
MINNEQUA CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSITS	339,650	333,805
FNB COLORADO CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSIT	106,130	106,130
FIRST UNION CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSIT	-	-
COLOTRUST INVESTMENT	117,000	187,000
DAIN RAUCHER INVESTMENT	199,938	199,781
FIRST UNION INVESTMENT	199,530	198,562
PIPER JAFFRAY INVESTMENT	70,688	82,266
ACCRUED INTEREST	<u>18,068</u>	<u>18,068</u>
 TOTAL ASSETS	 <u>\$ 1,143,720</u>	 <u>\$ 1,225,801</u>
 LIABILITIES AND FUND EQUITY		
ACCOUNTS PAYABLE	\$ -	\$ 1,075
PAYROLL TAXES PAYABLE	<u>55</u>	<u>83</u>
 TOTAL LIABILITIES	 <u>55</u>	 <u>1,158</u>
 RESERVE FOR EMERGENCIES	 6,000	 6,000
DESIGNATED FOR SUBSEQUENT YEAR'S EXPENDITURES	113,840	93,540
UNDESIGNATED	<u>1,023,825</u>	<u>1,125,103</u>
 TOTAL FUND EQUITY	 <u>1,143,665</u>	 <u>1,224,643</u>
 TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND EQUITY	 <u>\$ 1,143,720</u>	 <u>\$ 1,225,801</u>

SEE ACCOUNTANTS' COMPILATION REPORT

PUEBLO CONSERVANCY DISTRICT
COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF REVENUE AND EXPENDITURES -
ACTUAL AND BUDGET - GENERAL FUND
FOR THE ONE AND TEN MONTHS ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2000
AND THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999

	<u>ACTUAL</u>				
	<u>CURRENT</u>	<u>YEAR</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>UNUSED</u>	<u>1999</u>
	<u>MONTH</u>	<u>TO DATE</u>	<u>BUDGET</u>	<u>BUDGET</u>	<u>ACTUAL</u>
REVENUES					
INTEREST INCOME					
CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT	\$ 1,701	\$ 14,879	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,311
COLOTRUST	623	6,900	-	-	9,137
MONEY MARKET ACCOUNTS	326	2,623	-	-	3,709
DAIN RAUCHER	-	9,313	-	-	12,375
FIRST UNION	-	11,098	-	-	15,311
PIPER JAFFRAY	-	4,092	-	-	7,897
UNREALIZED GAINS (LOSSES)	<u>1,673</u>	<u>804</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(19,592)</u>
TOTAL INTEREST INCOME	4,323	49,709	73,000	23,291	52,148
LEASE PAYMENTS	-	-	250	250	-
OTHER INCOME	<u>-</u>	<u>28,087</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(28,087)</u>	<u>3,600</u>
TOTAL REVENUES	\$ 4,323	\$ 77,796	\$ 73,250	\$ (4,546)	\$ 55,748
EXPENDITURES					
80 LEGAL FEES	\$ 300	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,600	\$ 600	\$ 3,600
81 DIRECTORS FEES	180	1,800	3,000	1,200	2,160
82 FICA TAXES	-	83	240	157	165
83 ENGINEER/ADMINISTRATIVE	400	4,000	4,800	800	4,800
90 AUDIT & BOOKKEEPING	175	1,575	2,100	525	2,100
92 INSURANCE/BONDS	-	3,256	500	(2,756)	500
96 OFFICE EXPENSE	-	231	650	419	604
97 OFFICE RENT	100	1,000	1,200	200	1,200
100 PROFESSIONAL FEES	1,530	1,960	5,000	3,040	4,619
103 TRAVEL	-	170	500	330	83
105 REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE	490	17,078	45,000	27,922	17,177
108 CONTINGENCIES	-	-	500	500	-
109 EMERGENCY REPAIR	-	20,000	20,000	-	-
110 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS	-	104,621	25,000	(79,621)	20,468
111 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT	-	-	25,000	25,000	63
112 HARP - O & M	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>50,000</u>	<u>50,000</u>	<u>36,800</u>
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	<u>3,175</u>	<u>158,774</u>	<u>187,090</u>	<u>28,316</u>	<u>94,339</u>
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	<u>\$ 1,148</u>	<u>\$ (80,978)</u>	<u>\$ (113,840)</u>	<u>\$ (32,862)</u>	<u>\$ (38,591)</u>

SEE ACCOUNTANTS' COMPILATION REPORT

Scott Hobson

From: Fike, Richard A SPA [Richard.A.Fike@spa02.usace.army.mil]
Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2000 3:16 PM
To: 'Scott Hobson'
Subject: RE: Info on Section 206 Program

Scott,

Just thought you might be interested to learn the Arkansas 206 project has now moved to #1 District priority (up from #2), and #2 Division priority (up from #9) in the latest Continuing Authority Program Priority Listing. Also it appears the latest Senate and House versions of the Energy and Water Appropriations bills have doubled the money available in Section 206. Things are looking very promising! Someone must be listening?

-----Original Message-----

From: Scott Hobson [mailto:shobson@csn.net]
Sent: Monday, October 02, 2000 4:57 PM
To: Richard Fike (E-mail)
Subject: Info on Section 206 Program

Message from Scott Hobson

Rick--

Thanks for sending the info on the funding levels and previously funded Section 206 projects. I will put together a packet and send it out to our local Congressional offices. I talked with Jim Munch about their visit to the COPRS office in Washington D.C. He indicated that the Pueblo group conveyed the same message regarding the timing of funding to match the local matching funds (GOCO funds) and the local support for the project. They talked about a \$6 million total project, with the CORPS providing \$4 million and a local match of just over a \$2 million. They heard the same story from the CORPS staff in Washington. They emphasized increased overall funding for the Section 206 program and that Congressionally mandated funding is essential to put the project in a position to be funded. I have attached the memo that City Council Corinne Koehler had with her. She also provided a summary report to the staff in Washington, D.C. that included the announcement of the GOCO grant, the overall project budget, and the funding sources.

**Arkansas River
PCO-COEAL-001**

Chapter III

3.2 Alternative Array

An array of alternatives has been developed in order to compile total benefits of a complete set of restoration actions and to compare those benefits to other available sets of restoration actions.

3.2.1 Arkansas River Alternative 3

This alternative focuses on improvements to the main channel including bank stabilization, habitat features, low-flow channel development, high-flow velocity breaks and channel realignment. Alternative 3 also focuses on enhancements to the riparian corridor through native plantings, floodplain reconnection, shoreline enhancement, island development and invasive vegetation control. This alternative balances the material fill requirements of the project with materials excavated from realignments.

3.2.1.1 Alternative 3.1: In Channel Restoration

This alternative is identical to the actions that would be taken in Alternative 1.1.

3.2.1.2 Alternative 3.2: Riparian Corridor Restoration

This alternative is similar to Alternative 2.2. Differences include reduced development of alternate bars at the concrete levee toe, and more reclamation of the existing bank protection. Within the concrete levee, the total of alternate bars developed at the toe of the levee would be reduced in extent by 20 percent. No bars would be developed between the railroad bridge and the Santa Fe bridge. The existing barren bank protection that is too high in elevation to be periodically flooded would be covered with topsoil and planted with grasses and upland vegetation in this alternative.

3.2.1.3 Alternative 3.3: Channel Realignment

Channel realignments would be similar to Alternative 1.4. Differences would include reduced realignment area downstream of station 350+00, no realignment at station 240+00 and not acquiring the Zupan property. The realignments at stations 460+00 and between 370+00 and 350+00 would be identical to Alternative 1.4. The realignment downstream of station 350+00 would not be included in this alternative and channel would remain in its current configuration. Some bank stabilization would be required at station 345+00 that is not required in Alternative 1.4. The reduced realignments would produce less borrow material than produced in Alternative 1.4. This would result in smaller areas of shoreline enhancements and island development in the lakes.

3.3.2.4 Alternative 3.4: Southern Colorado Power Plant Diversion Passage

This diversion dam passage would be identical to Alternative 1.4

Habitat Relative Functional Assessment Model

SUMMARY

Alternative/Reach	Total HUs	COST*	HU Cost
Alternative 1			
Reach 1	539.55		
Reach 2	50.06		
Reach 3	41.28		
TOTAL	630.89	\$6,010,000	\$9,526
Alternative 2			
Reach 1	583.55		
Reach 2	69.80		
Reach 3	50.00		
TOTAL	703.34	\$5,830,000	\$8,289
Alternative 3			
Reach 1	592.00		
Reach 2	68.26		
Reach 3	51.50		
TOTAL	711.75	\$6,000,000	\$8,430

* Cost from Cost Spreadsheets (inclusive)

**Habitat Relative Functional Assessment Model
Alternative 3**

Reach1

HABITAT FEATURE	UNIT	QUANTITY	HABITAT INDEX (HI)	TOTAL HABITAT UNIT (HU)	SUBTOTAL COST*	COST* PER HU
Cross Vanes (23)	Per structure	23	3.93	89.70	\$170,000	\$1,895.21
J Hooks (24)	Per structure	24	3.15	75.60	\$220,000	\$2,910.05
W Weir (2)	Per structure	2	3.40	6.80	\$40,000	\$5,882.35
V Weir (47)	Per structure	47	3.40	159.80	\$880,000	\$5,506.88
Boulder Cluster (81 sets, 3 per set)	Per set	81	1.70	103.70	\$30,000	\$289.38
Cut and Plant BP	Acres	1	2.90	2.90	\$20,000	\$6,896.55
Bank Stabilization/Enhancement (1700 ft)	Per 500 feet	3.4	4.00	13.60	\$60,000	\$4,411.76
Cottonwood Planting	Acres	48	1.55	74.40	\$150,000	\$2,016.13
Invasive Vegetation Control	Acres	77	0.30	23.10	\$80,000	\$3,463.20
Protect Existing Young Cottonwoods	Acres	7	0.75	5.25	\$4,900	\$933.33
Enhance Existing Bank Protection	Acres	4	0.80	2.40	\$20,000	\$8,333.33
Channel Realignment (Station 460+00)	Acres	2.5	8.95	17.38	\$320,000	\$18,417.27
Channel Realignment (Station 370+00)	Acres	2.5	8.95	17.38	\$310,000	\$17,841.73
SUBTOTAL REACH 1			39.55	582.00	\$2,304,900	\$3,893

Reach 2

HABITAT FEATURE	UNIT	QUANTITY	HABITAT INDEX (HI)	TOTAL HABITAT UNIT (HU)	SUBTOTAL COST*	COST* PER HU
Cross Vanes (2)	Per structure	2	3.93	7.80	\$10,000	\$1,282
W Weir (1)	Per structure	1	3.40	3.40	\$20,000	\$5,882
V Weir (5)	Per structure	5	3.40	17.00	\$80,000	\$4,706
Cottonwood Planting	Acres	8.5	1.55	10.08	\$150,000	\$14,888
Vegetated Bars	Acres	2.9	2.80	8.12	\$490,000	\$60,345
Bank Stabilization/Enhancement (420 ft)	Per 500 feet	0.84	4.00	3.36	\$20,000	\$5,952
Fish Passage at Power Plant Diversion	Per drop structure	5	3.70	18.50	\$490,000	\$26,486
SUBTOTAL REACH 2			22.75	88.28	\$1,260,000	\$15,460

Reach3

HABITAT FEATURE	UNIT	QUANTITY	HABITAT INDEX (HI)	TOTAL HABITAT UNIT (HU)	SUBTOTAL COST*	COST* PER HU
V Weir (1)	Per structure	1	3.40	3.40	\$10,000	\$2,941
Boulder Cluster (12 sets, 3 per set)	Per set	12	1.70	20.40	\$10,000	\$490
Cut and Plant BP	Acres	0.8	2.90	1.74	\$10,000	\$5,747
Cottonwood Planting	Acres	4.5	1.55	6.98	\$10,000	\$1,434
Protect Existing Young Cottonwoods	Acres	2	0.75	1.50	\$1,400	\$933
Create Islands	Acres	1.7	2.00	3.40	\$110,000	\$32,353
Create shoreline	Acres	8.4	2.20	14.08	\$130,000	\$9,233
SUBTOTAL REACH 3			14.59	51.50	\$281,400	\$5,455
TOTAL ALT. 3			76.80	711.75	\$3,846,300	\$5,404

*Costs are not inclusive (missing non-feature related costs, including mobilization and dewatering)

PUEBLO ARKANSAS RIVER CORRIDOR LEGACY PROJECT

"PROJECT TIMELINE" - November 2001 through October 2004

[illegible]

THE PUEBLO CONSERVANCY DISTRICT<

ACCOUNTS PAYABLE - - -

October	Accounts Payable to Approve 10-10-00		
1885	Pueblo Arts Council	Paint-out Maintenance	\$ 400.00
1886	G. Sandstrom	Directors Fee (Oct) (81)	55.41
1887	K. Farley	Directors Fee (Oct) (81)	55.41
1888	P. Kelly	Directors Fee (Oct) (81)	55.41
1889	Banner	Legal Fee (Occt (80)	300.00
1890	Engineer Koester	Eng/Admin (Oct) (83)	400.00
		Land Survey Services (100)	1530.00
		Rental of Stor and Mtg (97)	100.00
1891	MBKD, PC	Bookeepg Service (Sep) (90)	175.00
1892	Westplains Energy	Power at Runyon (105)	90.00
TOTAL			\$ 3,160.00

FRIENDS OF THE RIVER TRAIL

October 18, 2000

Those present were Chairman Dave Anderson, Rich Zajac, Bob Gilliland, Kathy Stommel, Lila Gradisar, Bob Quintana, Tim Sandsmark, Steven Meier, Ben Valdez, and Carolyn Herzberger.

Steven reported on three projects: Sewer trucks have destroyed some of the concrete on the Main Street Trail link; plans are to replace it in the spring of 2001. For the planned construction of the USC trail connection, where there is old asphalt it will be removed. The trail's surface will be crusher fines. An adjoining concrete-surfaced trail will be added later. The project should be completed by the end of 2001. There was brief discussion of the upcoming State Trails grant application. Steven suggested resubmitting last year's request for the Fountain Creek Trail, last phase. The group agreed.

Most of the meeting was dedicated to a presentation by Alan Hamel and Terry Book of the Pueblo Board of Water Works. They described what was termed "possibly the largest public works project in Pueblo"—construction of a pipeline from Pueblo Reservoir eastward and enhancement of the Eleventh Street Plant. The project carries a 100-foot easement along and near the Arkansas River. Temporary by-passes will be constructed where the trail is affected. Construction, which has already begun, is planned to be completed by February, 2002.

The next three meetings are scheduled for January 17, March 21, and May 16. All are at noon in the Parks and Rec Conference Room.

Note: These minutes are my last for awhile as secretary, and I'll continue to see you at the meetings. After eight years, it's time to share this fun with someone else!


Carolyn Herzberger